

1 Gas in debris disks

Debris disks with gas represent a regime of nebular astrophysics that has only recently been quantified³¹. The archetype of the class, the best studied object so far, is the disk around β Pictoris, which we use as a reference point for our study.

1.1 Total gas mass

It is important to note that the total mass of gas that these debris disks have is poorly known, even for the well-studied disk around β Pictoris. Debris disk gas has mainly been observed in emission lines from metal ions and CO, but the bulk of the gas is generally assumed to be hydrogen, a component that is difficult to measure³². Estimates of the hydrogen abundance in the β Pictoris disk relative to the solar value range from 10^{-3} to 1; this range translates into a range of total gas mass from about $8 \times 10^{-4} M_{\oplus}$ to $0.8 M_{\oplus}$.

The dust mass is better constrained. Still, care must be taken to specify the particle size range of interest, since in typical grain size distributions, the larger bodies contain most of the mass, yet the smaller grains are the ones that we can detect. The disk should have a dust mass of $0.27 M_{\oplus}$ for particles smaller than 1 cm, assuming spherical grains with a number distribution $dn/da_{\bullet} \propto a_{\bullet}^{-3.5}$, where a_{\bullet} is the grain radius³¹. Given these numbers, ϵ for β Pictoris would lie in the range of 0.3 to 300.

These numbers roughly span the range of parameters for other debris disks with gas, with 49 Ceti a notably gas-rich exception. Estimates for the HD 21997 disk⁹ quote a dust mass of $\sim 0.1 M_{\oplus}$ and a gas mass of $0.35 M_{\oplus}$, corresponding to $\epsilon \approx 0.3$. An upper limit to the gas mass of HD 3229 is estimated⁷ at $\sim 0.3 M_{\oplus}$; the dust mass in the same system⁸ lies in the range of 0.02 – $1 M_{\oplus}$, yielding ϵ in the range ≈ 0.05 – 3 . The 49 Ceti debris disk has about the same mass of dust as HD21997, but substantially more gas⁴: $13 M_{\oplus}$, corresponding to $\epsilon \approx 0.008$.

1.2 Mean free path

To determine if the system may or may not be treated hydrodynamically, we estimate the mean free path

$$\lambda_{\text{mfp}} \approx \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{coll}} n_g} \quad (1)$$

in actual systems. In Eq. (1) n_g is the number density of the constituent gas molecules or atoms, and $\sigma_{\text{coll}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{cm}^2$ the collisional cross section of hydrogen, taken to be representative.

For 49 Ceti, a best fit for the CO column density of $N_{\text{CO}} = 4 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-2}$ at $r = 100 \text{AU}$ is reported³³. The number density can be estimated from the column density, $n_g = N_g/2H$, where H is the gas scale height. For an aspect ratio $h = H/r = 0.02$, the midplane number density is $n_{\text{CO}} = 70 \text{cm}^{-3}$ at 100AU . This provides an upper limit to the mean free path of $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} < 0.4 \text{AU}$. The actual mean free path is likely to be much lower, because of the presence of hydrogen. Assuming a hydrogen abundance up to $n_{\text{H}_2}/n_{\text{CO}} = 10^4$, the mean free path ranges from 4×10^{-5} to 0.4AU . Considering typical ring structures of 10AU , the lower limit is well within the hydrodynamical range, and even the upper limit implies marginal applicability.

For β Pictoris, observations report a column density of atomic C II of 10^{16}cm^{-2} at $\approx 100 \text{AU}$ ⁶. With aspect ratio $h=0.2$ ³⁴, this translates into a number density of $\approx 20 \text{cm}^{-3}$ and thus an upper limit on the mean free path of $\approx 2 \text{AU}$. Assuming solar composition ($n_{\text{H}} \approx 10^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$)³⁴, the mean free path should be $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = 0.03 \text{AU}$, well in the hydrodynamic limit.

For HD21997, a simultaneous fit of the CO $J=3-2$ and $J=2-1$ lines⁹ yields $n_{\text{CO}} = 22 \pm 5 \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $n_{\text{H}_2}/n_{\text{CO}}=1000 \pm 500$ at 63AU (the best fit of $n_{\text{CO}} = 10 \text{cm}^{-3}$ for the $J=3-2$ line assuming the canonical value $n_{\text{H}_2}/n_{\text{CO}} = 10^4$ gives a poor fit for the $J=2-1$ line). This inferred number density of $n_{\text{H}_2} = 1.1 - 2.2 \times 10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}$ for hydrogen places the mean free path at $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = 0.0015 - 0.003 \text{AU}$, also well within the hydrodynamic limit.

The situation for HD 32297 is less constrained, but limits can still be derived. The gas mass is estimated from Na instead of CO, with a column density of $N_{\text{NaI}} \approx 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Assuming that the abundances are similar to those of β Pictoris⁶, and using as constraints Na measurements¹⁶ and HI upper limits³⁵, the hydrogen density should be $N_{\text{HI}}/N_{\text{NaI}} \approx 10^9$. For an aspect ratio $h=0.1$ at 100AU ³⁶, this yields a number density of $n_{\text{HI}}=3 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$, and thus a mean free path $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = 10^{-4} \text{AU}$. Given the assumptions made, this is likely an overestimate. Nevertheless, given an integral scale of 10AU , a revised value three orders of magnitude upward would still be in the range of applicability of hydrodynamics.

1.3 Thermal time

Another important quantity for the instability we investigate is the thermal time scale. In the model quoted³¹, the dust is concentrated in a ring about 100-140 AU from the star. At the peak in the dust density, the midplane gas density is about 10 cm^{-3} , the dust temperature is roughly 100 K, the gas temperature is roughly 70 K. The gas is primarily heated by photoelectric emission from dust grains, and primarily cooled through the C II $157.7 \mu\text{m}$ line emission and the total heating/cooling power is roughly $2 \times 10^{-19} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Since the specific heat of molecular hydrogen at 70 K is roughly $1.3 \times 10^8 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, the thermal time scale in this model is about $0.5(n_{\text{H}_2}/10 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ years. Given the range of possible hydrogen abundances in the disk, the range of time scales of interest corresponds to about 10^{-4} to 0.1 orbital periods.

2 The model

We work primarily in the thin disk approximation, using the vertically integrated equations of hydrodynamics

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_g}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \Sigma_g - \Sigma_g \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{\Sigma_g} \nabla P - \nabla \Phi - \frac{\Sigma_d}{\Sigma_g} \mathbf{f}_d \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) S - \frac{c_v}{T} \frac{(T - T_p)}{\tau_T}. \quad (4)$$

In these equations, Σ_g and Σ_d are the vertically integrated gas and dust densities, respectively; \mathbf{u} stands for the velocity of the gas parcels, P is the vertically-integrated pressure, and Φ is the gravitational potential. $S = c_v (\ln P - \gamma \ln \Sigma_g)$ is the gas entropy, where c_v is the specific heat at constant volume and $\gamma = c_p/c_v$ is the adiabatic index, with c_p the heat capacity at constant pressure. T stands for the gas temperature. A tridimensional model is considered in Sect. 6.

The dust evolves Lagrangianly according to

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{v} \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\nabla \Phi + \mathbf{f}_d \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{x} is the position of a dust particle and \mathbf{v} its velocity. The gravitational potential is given by $\Phi = -GM_\star/r$, where G is the gravitational constant, M_\star the stellar mass, and r the stellocentric distance. The pressure is given by $P = \Sigma_g c_s^2 / \gamma$, where c_s is the sound speed.

The model is closed by specifying the drag force \mathbf{f}_d by which gas and dust interact; and T_p , a simple prescription for the gas temperature set by photoelectric heating. These are given by

$$\mathbf{f}_d = -\frac{(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})}{\tau_f} \quad (7)$$

$$T_p = T_0 \frac{\Sigma_d}{\Sigma_0}. \quad (8)$$

The quantities τ_f and τ_T are the dynamical and thermal coupling times between gas and dust, respectively. They have a radial variance to match the Keplerian rate

Table 1: Symbols used in this work

Symbol	Definition	Description
t		time
u, v		gas and dust velocity
p, P		gas pressure (3D and vertically integrated)
ρ_g, Σ_g		volume and surface gas density
ρ_d, Σ_d		volume and surface dust density
S		entropy
c_v, c_p		heat capacity at constant volume and at constant pressure
T, T_p		gas and dust temperature
τ_T, τ_f		thermal relaxation and friction time
γ		adiabatic index
r, ϕ		radial polar coordinate, azimuth
c_s		sound speed
Ω		angular frequency
s, σ	$\sigma = s/\Omega$	complex eigenfrequency
k, n	$n = kH$	radial wavenumber, normalized radial wavenumber
m		azimuthal wavenumber
ν, α	$\nu = \alpha c_s H$	viscosity and Shakura-Sunyaev parameter
v	$v = \alpha \gamma n^2$	normalized viscosity
H	$H = c_s / \sqrt{\gamma} \Omega$	gas scale height
h	$h = H/r$	aspect ratio
H_d	$H_d = \sqrt{D/\zeta}$	dust scale height
ε		dust-to-gas ratio
c_b	$p_b = \rho_g c_b^2$	sound speed associated with background temperature
β	$\beta = \gamma (c_b/c_s)^2$	dimensionless background pressure parameter
D		dust diffusion coefficient
ζ	$v_z = -\zeta z$	proportionality factor in dust vertical velocity

$$\tau_f = \tau_{f0} \Omega_0 / \Omega \quad (9)$$

$$\tau_T = \tau_{T0} \Omega_0 / \Omega \quad (10)$$

where $\Omega = \sqrt{GM_*/r^3}$ is the Keplerian angular frequency. The subscript “0” refers to the values of the quantities at an arbitrary reference radius r_0 . The quantities τ_{f0} and τ_{T0} are free parameters of the model.

Given that the thermal time is sometimes expected to be very low (10^{-4} orbital periods, as estimated in Sect. 1.3) we also run models with instantaneous thermal coupling. For these models, we skip solving the energy equation, and equate $T = T_p$ according to Eq. (8). The sound speed is updated accordingly. This change effectively amounts to choosing a new equation of state that depends on the dust density

$$\lim_{\tau_T \rightarrow 0} P = c_v (\gamma - 1) T_0 \Sigma_g \Sigma_d / \Sigma_0. \quad (11)$$

A list of the mathematical symbols used in this work, together with their definitions, is provided in Table 1. We solve the equations with the PENCIL CODE¹, which integrates the evolution equations with sixth order spatial derivatives, and a third order Runge-Kutta time integrator. Sixth-order hyperdissipation terms are added to Eq. (2)-Eq. (4), to provide extra dissipation near the grid scale^{22–23}. They are needed because the high order scheme of the Pencil Code has little overall numerical dissipation³⁷.

3 Linear stability analysis

We perform a linear stability analysis, that should assist on interpreting the results of the numerical simulations. To derive the perturbation equations, we make use of the shearing sheet and fluid approximations. The first treats the

¹The code, including improvements done for the present work, is publicly available under a GNU open source license and can be downloaded at <http://www.nordita.org/software/pencil-code>

equations in a local, co-rotating Cartesian frame. The second greatly simplifies the treatment of solid particles by having a continuity equation. The 2D equations are

$$\mathcal{D}_v \Sigma_d = -\Sigma_d \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_v v_x = 2\Omega v_y - \frac{1}{\tau_f}(v_x - u_x) \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_v v_y = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega v_x - \frac{1}{\tau_f}(v_y - u_y) \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_u \Sigma_g = -\Sigma_g \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_u u_x = 2\Omega u_y - \frac{1}{\Sigma_g} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_f}(u_x - v_x) \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_u u_y = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega u_x - \frac{1}{\Sigma_g} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_f}(u_y - v_y) \quad (17)$$

where $\varepsilon = \Sigma_d/\Sigma_g$ is the dust-to-gas ratio and

$$\mathcal{D}_w = \partial_t + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla - q\Omega x \partial_y \quad (18)$$

is the shear-modified advective derivative^{38,39}, with $q = 3/2$ the Keplerian shear rate. Upon linear decomposition $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi'$ and considering axis-symmetric planar wave perturbations $\psi' = \hat{\psi} \exp(st + ikx)$, these equations become

$$s\hat{\Sigma}_d = -\Sigma_{d0} ik \hat{v}_x \quad (19)$$

$$s\hat{v}_x = 2\Omega \hat{v}_y - \frac{1}{\tau_f}(\hat{v}_x - \hat{u}_x) \quad (20)$$

$$s\hat{v}_y = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega \hat{v}_x - \frac{1}{\tau_f}(\hat{v}_y - \hat{u}_y) \quad (21)$$

$$s\hat{\Sigma}_g = -\Sigma_{g0} ik \hat{u}_x \quad (22)$$

$$s\hat{u}_x = 2\Omega \hat{u}_y - \mathcal{C} ik (\hat{\Sigma}_d + \varepsilon \hat{\Sigma}_g) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_f}(\hat{u}_x - \hat{v}_x) \quad (23)$$

$$s\hat{u}_y = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega \hat{u}_x - \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_f}(\hat{u}_y - \hat{v}_y) \quad (24)$$

where we used the instantaneous thermal coupling approximation (Eq. 11) to substitute

$$\nabla P = \frac{c_{s0}^2}{\gamma \Sigma_0} (\Sigma_g \nabla \Sigma_d + \Sigma_d \nabla \Sigma_g). \quad (25)$$

Equations (19) and (22) readily allow for reducing the system to only four equations. We substitute these in the radial equation for gas velocity to obtain

$$\sigma \hat{v}_x = 2\hat{v}_y - \frac{1}{\Omega \tau_f}(\hat{v}_x - \hat{u}_x) \quad (26)$$

$$\sigma \hat{v}_y = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{v}_x - \frac{1}{\Omega \tau_f}(\hat{v}_y - \hat{u}_y) \quad (27)$$

$$\sigma \hat{u}_x = 2\hat{u}_y - \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\Omega \tau_f} + \frac{n^2}{\sigma} \right) \hat{u}_x + \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\Omega \tau_f} - \frac{n^2}{\sigma} \right) \hat{v}_x \quad (28)$$

$$\sigma \hat{u}_y = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{u}_x - \frac{\varepsilon}{\Omega \tau_f}(\hat{u}_y - \hat{v}_y) \quad (29)$$

where we also substituted the normalizations

$$\sigma = s/\Omega \quad (30)$$

$$n = kc_{s0}/\sqrt{\gamma}\Omega = kH \quad (31)$$

We now substitute $\tau_f = 1/\Omega$ so that the dispersion relation becomes simpler yet still captures the physically interesting case of the most mobile dust. We solve the eigenvalue problem

$$(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{I}\sigma) \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda} = 0 \quad (32)$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\hat{v}_x, \hat{v}_y, \hat{u}_x, \hat{u}_y)^T$, \mathbf{I} is the unit matrix, and

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon(1 - n^2/\sigma) & 0 & -\varepsilon(1 + n^2/\sigma) & 2 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & -1/2 & -\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

The dispersion relation for this linear system is a quintic polynomial

$$A\sigma^5 + B\sigma^4 + C\sigma^3 + D\sigma^2 + E\sigma + F = 0 \quad (34)$$

with coefficients

$$A = 1, \quad (35)$$

$$B = 2\varepsilon + 2, \quad (36)$$

$$C = \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon(n^2 + 2) + 3, \quad (37)$$

$$D = \varepsilon^2 n^2 + \varepsilon(3n^2 + 2) + 2, \quad (38)$$

$$E = \varepsilon^2(2n^2 + 1) + \varepsilon(3n^2 + 2) + 2, \quad (39)$$

$$F = \varepsilon^2 n^2 - \varepsilon n^2. \quad (40)$$

Eq. (34) has five solutions. Since quintics do not have a complete analytical solution, we solve it numerically at first, to explore the behavior of the solutions. The result is detailed in the main article, and plotted in Fig 1a-e. In the main article we also explore the limit of large n and low ε . Substituting $\xi = \varepsilon n^2$ as characteristic variable, and taking the limit $\xi \gg 1$, the coefficients are

$$A = 1; \quad B = 2; \quad C = \xi;$$

$$D = 3\xi; \quad E = 3\xi; \quad F = -\xi. \quad (41)$$

The solutions are plotted in Fig 1f-g of the main article.

4 Comparing linear theory and simulations

From the solutions (Fig 1a-c of main article), we see that there is significant growth even for very small wavelengths. The simulations, however, will cap power at and near the grid scale. To make for a meaningful comparison, we add artificial Laplacian viscosity ν to the gas and dust momentum equations. The extra term in Fourier space is proportional to νk^2 , which, using the alpha-viscosity recipe²⁷ $\nu = \alpha c_s H$, normalizing by Ω , and substituting Eq. (31), reduces to $\nu = \alpha \gamma n^2$. This enters in the coefficient matrix as diagonal terms. The new, viscous, system is therefore

$$[\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{I}(\sigma + \nu)] \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda} = 0 \quad (42)$$


We set $\alpha = 10^{-2}$ and solve the system numerically. Fig 1h of the main article shows a comparison between the linear growth rates predicted by Eq. (42), and the ones we measure by solving the system in the shearing sheet with fluid approximation (Eqs. (12) and (17)) with the Pencil Code, applying a small amplitude perturbation ($u_{\text{rms}} = 10^{-3} c_s$). The agreement is excellent, with the measured growth rates only very slightly systematically offset from the analytical prediction.

5 Nonlinear numerical simulations


5.1 Numerical results and Robustness

5.1.1 The instability in one dimension


To understand the nature of the instability, it is instructive to consider it first in one-dimensional models, since it allows a more thorough exploration of the parameter space. We also shut down the drag force backreaction, in order



Supplementary Figure 1: Parameter space exploration for the thermal coupling time τ_{T0} in models with $\tau_{f0}=1$ and without backreaction. **a**, The pressure build-up associated with too short thermal coupling time leads to modification of the centrifugal balance experienced by a gas parcel. **b**, In the case of $\tau_T=10$ the pressure buildup leads to supersonic motion and consequent disruption of the dust fingers into a turbulent pattern. **c-d**, The models with larger τ_{T0} are better behaved as the buildup is slow. After long enough times (not shown) they too develop shock waves that disturb the dust streams. The dynamics is considerably different in models including back-reaction (Suppl. Fig. 3).



Supplementary Figure 2: Parameter space exploration for the friction time τ_{f0} in models with $\tau_{T0}=10$ and without backreaction. There is an apparent symmetry with respect to $\tau_f = 1$. **a-b**, As the dust couples more strongly (lower τ_f), a grain takes longer to move away from the density maxima (pressure minima) into the pressure maxima. **c-d**, As the dust decouples (larger τ_f) the particles take longer times to move to the local pressure maxima. The velocities never get too close to sonic so turbulent disruption as in the case of short thermal coupling time does not occur.



Supplementary Figure 3: The effect of the backreaction of the drag force is illustrated above. **a-b**, Space-time variation of the dust density in models of constant friction time and varying thermal time. **c-d**, Models of varying friction time and constant thermal time. Compared to the same models without backreaction (Suppl. Fig. 1 and Suppl. Fig. 2), we see that the dust streams are better shepherded. This is because when radial velocities are excited, the drag force backreaction damps them back to zero at an e-folding time $\tau_f \Sigma_g / \Sigma_d$. The shepherding of the dust stream should occur as long as this quantity is smaller or similar to τ_T .

to better isolate the effect of heating (but as we show later, this term will become of paramount importance in the phenomenology of debris disks in the presence of gas). It is illustrated in the Fig. 1 of the main article how the initial random overdensities quickly grow into massive accumulations of dust. We explore here the parameter space of the thermal coupling time τ_T and the dynamical coupling time τ_f . The dust-to-gas ratio is set to $\varepsilon=1$, so the regime is marginally nonlinear. We use Lagrangian particles for the dust.

Models exploring the parameter space of $\tau_T\Omega$ are shown in Suppl. Fig. 1. The panels from left to right correspond to $\tau_T\Omega=1, 10, 1000$, and 1000 . The friction time was kept constant at $\tau_f\Omega=1$ so that the dust is mobile. In all cases the dust concentrates.

The models shown in Suppl. Fig. 1 contain some interesting features worth highlighting. As seen in the model of $\tau_T\Omega=1.0$, there are instances in time, around 30 orbits, that the dust distribution rapidly migrates inwards, setting in another equilibrium location. In the model with $\tau_T\Omega=10$ the dust at later times, around 50 orbits, passes from a thin stream to a shower, resembling the transition to turbulence seen in, e.g., cigarette smoke. Both effects seem to be related to the pressure buildup. As the dust concentrates, the local temperature rises, leading to further dust buildup. The runaway process has to saturate at some point, and these effects seem to be manifestations of saturation. The pressure buildup leads to a gradual change in the centrifugal equilibrium $r\phi^2 = r\Omega_K^2 + \Sigma_g^{-1} \partial_r P$. At some point the buildup of pressure significantly changes that relation, and the disk readjusts. In the other case, the buildup of pressure leads to supersonic speeds. Shock waves develop, leading to the disruption of the dust stream. These effects will be significantly mitigated by the inclusion of the drag force backreaction, in Sect. 5.1.3.

5.1.2 Effect of gas drag

The models shown in the previous section were run with a fixed friction time of $\tau_f\Omega=1$, representing the most mobile particles. We consider now models with varying τ_f , keeping $\tau_T\Omega=10$. A suite of such models is shown in Suppl. Fig. 2. As the dust decouples (larger τ_f), it takes longer for the pressure gradient to move the particles to the pressure maxima. As the dust couples more strongly (lower τ_f), it takes longer for the dust to decouple itself from the density maxima (pressure minima) and into the pressure maxima. The symmetry with respect to $\tau_f\Omega = 1$ is striking.

In the extreme of particle tracers ($\tau_f = 0$) there is no instability as the gas and dust cannot get separated. The heating leads to expansion, that carries away the dust, leading to cooling. The process is self-regulated. In the opposite extreme of decoupled dust $\tau_f \rightarrow \infty$ there is no instability either as the dust does not get pushed toward pressure maxima.

5.1.3 Effect of gas drag back-reaction

We now turn to the effect of the last term in the right hand side of the momentum equation, the drag force backreaction from the particles onto the gas. We re-run models of $\tau_r \Omega = 1$ and 10, with $\tau_{f0} = 1$ (the leftmost ones in Suppl. Fig. 1), but now including this term. The results are shown in the upper panels of Suppl. Fig. 3, for the dust density only. Comparing these models with the ones without back-reaction shown in Suppl. Fig. 1, we see that the jerks in position are absent, as well as the dispersion of the particles into turbulent streams as seen in the models without backreaction. In other words, the backreaction of the drag force has the effect of shepherding the dust streams.

The reason is because in the other models, although the dust is forced to follow the gas, the gas is unconstrained by the dust. When backreaction is included, the gas follows the dust whenever the dust-to-gas ratio ε is high. When $\varepsilon > \sim 1$ the backreaction partially dominating the gas motion effectively herds the dust; a linear perturbation to the system executes exponentially damped oscillations, as shown in Fig. 1 of the main article. This effect will become important, in higher dimensions, on damping non-axisymmetric modes and confining the dust concentration into rings instead of clumps.

Interestingly, this effect, being proportional to τ_f , should break the symmetry with respect to $\tau_f = 1$ seen in the models without backreaction (Suppl. Fig. 2). Indeed, this is what is seen in the lower panels of Suppl. Fig. 3, where we show the result of two simulations with $\tau_r = 10$ and backreaction included, varying τ_f . The left panel has $\tau_f = 0.1$ and the right panel has $\tau_f = 10$. The simulation with shorter friction time experiences less clumping for the same thermal coupling time.

The herding provided by the drag force also introduces a dependency on τ_r / τ_f , because if $\tau_r \ll \tau_f$, the pressure builds much faster than the dust can respond.

6 Three dimensions and stratification

We assess now the impact of three-dimensionality and stratification in the instability. We need first to establish the condition of vertical hydrostatic equilibrium. We treated so far the gas-dust debris disks as a system where the only source of heating was photoelectric, which leads to the equation of state Eq. (11) under instantaneous thermal coupling. This is a suitable approximation for one-dimensional models as in the radial direction it is the centrifugal force that balances gravity. However, in the vertical direction, as pressure plays the central role of establishing hydrostatic equilibrium against stellar gravity, photoelectric heating alone cannot sustain the gas column. Extra sources of pressure must be considered.

6.1 Extra pressure

We add to the equation of state a term that embodies a background temperature T_b , not associated with the dust, from, e.g., H_2 photodissociation, H_2 formation, and H_2 collisional de-excitation. For simplicity, we consider this background temperature constant. This temperature will enter the equation of state as an extra isothermal term. For reasons of symmetry, we can define a “background sound speed” c_b associated with this temperature, and thus write $p_b = \rho_g c_b^2$. The full pressure is thus

$$p = \rho_g c_b^2 + p(\rho_d). \quad (43)$$

The term $p(\rho_d) \propto \rho_g \rho_d$ is the 3D equivalent to Eq. (11). We assess the effect of the extra background pressure in the photoelectric instability. For the axisymmetric modes, this term contributes an extra pressure force to be added to the u_x perturbation equation. The linear system then becomes

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon(1 - n^2/\sigma) & 0 & -(\varepsilon + \beta)(n^2/\sigma) - \varepsilon & 2 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & -1/2 & -\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

where

$$\beta = \gamma \left(\frac{c_b}{c_s} \right)^2 \quad (45)$$

is a dimensionless normalization. The dispersion relation is not much different. It is also a quintic polynomial, with coefficients

$$A = 1 \quad (46)$$

$$B = 2\varepsilon + 2 \quad (47)$$

$$C = n^2\beta + \varepsilon(n^2 + 2) + \varepsilon^2 + 3 \quad (48)$$

$$D = \varepsilon(n^2(\beta + 3) + 2) + 2n^2\beta + \varepsilon^2n^2 + 2 \quad (49)$$

$$E = \varepsilon(n^2(\beta + 3) + 2) + 2n^2\beta + \varepsilon^2(2n^2 + 1) + 2 \quad (50)$$

$$F = \varepsilon n^2(\beta - 1) + \varepsilon^2n^2. \quad (51)$$

These coefficients resume to Eqs. (34)–(40) for $\beta = 0$. We show in Fig 2e of the main article the maximum growth rate as a function of β . The linear instability weakens as β increases, eventually disappearing when $\beta = 1$. For $\beta = 0.1$ the maximum growth rate is approximately half as that for $\beta = 0$, so the instability still occurs at timescales comparable to the dynamical time. We show the growth for different modes in Fig 2f of the main article. There are no major differences qualitatively. The modes for higher β develop similar structures at a slower pace. We conclude that linear instability exists as long as photoelectric heating dominates over other heating processes.

6.2 Stratification

We assess now the impact of stratification in the instability. We start by finding the equilibrium structure of the dust sub-disk in the z -direction^{40,41}.

6.3 Dust steady state

Like in gas-rich protoplanetary disks, the dust, once decoupled, will start settling toward the midplane of the disk, obeying the z -momentum equation

$$\mathcal{D}_v v_z = -\Omega^2 z - \frac{1}{\tau_f}(v_z - u_z). \quad (52)$$

Since the dust is pressureless, when $v_z = 0$ and $u_z = 0$ equilibrium is only possible if $z = 0$, i.e., the dust concentrates in an infinitely thin midplane layer. Support against gravity comes from $v_z \neq 0$, so the dust is not in equilibrium, but in a steady state, defined by setting $\partial_t = 0$ in the above equation. We also assume $\partial_y = u_z = 0$, leading to

$$v_z \partial_z v_z = -\Omega^2 z - \tau_f^{-1} v_z \quad (53)$$

The ansatz $v_z = -\zeta z$ yields the quadratic equation $\zeta^2 - \zeta/\tau_f + \Omega^2 = 0$, so

$$\zeta = \left(\tau_f^{-1} \pm \sqrt{\tau_f^{-2} - 4\Omega^2} \right) / 2. \quad (54)$$

Real solutions exist for $\tau_f \leq 1/2\Omega$. The ansatz $v_z = -\zeta z$ means that matter is constantly falling toward the midplane. The non-zero divergence would unboundedly increase the density, if not counterbalanced by some mechanism. That mechanism is diffusion. The continuity equation for the dust is rewritten

$$\mathcal{D}_v \rho_d = -\rho_d \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + D \nabla^2 \rho_d \quad (55)$$

so that a steady state is possible, in which the infall of dust towards the midplane is balanced by diffusion away from it, leading to the differential equation

$$D \partial_z^2 \rho_d + \zeta z \partial_z \rho_d + \zeta \rho_d = 0. \quad (56)$$

This equation has a general solution

$$f(z) = C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta z^2}{2D}\right) \left[1 + C_2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi D}{2\zeta}} \operatorname{erfi}\left(z \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{2D}}\right) \right], \quad (57)$$

where erfi is the imaginary error function. Because this function diverges at infinity where the density should tend to zero, the solution requires $C_2 = 0$. We are thus left only with a Gaussian

$$\rho_d = \operatorname{Re}[f(z)] = \rho_{d0} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2H_d^2}\right). \quad (58)$$

with $H_d = \sqrt{D/\zeta}$ the dust scale height.

6.4 Gas hydrostatic equilibrium

We now derive the equilibrium condition of the pressure-supported gas column, which follows from the gas z -momentum equation

$$\mathcal{D}_u u_z = -\Omega^2 z - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_f} (u_z - v_z). \quad (59)$$

Setting $u_z = 0$ and substituting $v_z = -\zeta z$, we are left with the following condition of hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = - \left(\Omega^2 - \frac{\varepsilon \zeta}{\tau_f} \right) z \quad (60)$$

Given $v_z = -\zeta z$, $\ln \rho_d = -z^2/2H_d^2$, and $u_z = 0$, we calculate ρ_g that satisfies the equilibrium condition. Substituting the equation of state and $\varepsilon = \rho_d/\rho$ (now a function of z), we arrive at the following equation

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} (c_b^2 + \mathcal{C} \rho_d) + \frac{\rho_d \zeta z}{\tau_f} \right] = - \left(\Omega^2 - \frac{\mathcal{C} \rho_d}{H_d^2} \right) z, \quad (61)$$

where $\mathcal{C} = c_{s0}^2/(\gamma \rho_0)$. The equation above can be cast in the form

$$\frac{1}{y} \left[y' (a + b e^{-z^2/2c}) + dz e^{-z^2/2c} \right] = -z \left(f - \frac{b}{c} e^{-z^2/2c} \right), \quad (62)$$

where $y = \rho$, $a = c_b^2$, $b = \mathcal{C} \varepsilon_0$, $c = H_d^2$, $d = \rho_{d0} \zeta / \tau_f$ and $f = \Omega^2$. The various parameters are associated with different physical mechanisms involved in the equilibrium: a is associated with support from the background pressure, b from photoelectric heating, and c from diffusion; d is related to the drag force backreaction, and f to gravity. The general solution for Eq. (62) is

$$y(z) = F(d) + K_1 e^{z^2/2c} \left(a e^{z^2/2c} + b \right)^{-(1+cf/a)}. \quad (63)$$

For no dust backreaction ($d=0$) and no photoelectric heating ($b=0$) it resumes to the usual isothermal stratification

$$y(z) = K_2 e^{-fz^2/2a} = \rho_0 e^{-z^2/2H_g^2} \quad (64)$$

with $H_g = c_b/\Omega$. The term containing d is


$$F(d) = \frac{dc}{b(1-\chi)} \psi(1+\psi)^{-(1+\chi)} {}_2F_1(-\chi, 1-\chi; 2-\chi; -\psi) \quad (65)$$

where $\psi = b/a e^{-z^2/2c}$, $\chi = fc/a = \Omega^2 H_d^2/c_b^2$ and ${}_2F_1$ is the hyper-geometric function.


We show in Suppl. Fig. 4 the resulting stratification given the same dust distribution ($H_d=0.5$, $\zeta=1$, $\tau_f=0.5$). The dark yellow line shows the usual isothermal stratification. The blue line shows the effect of adding the photoelectric heating. The reduction in gas mass is because, with the extra pressure, less mass is needed to balance the stellar gravity. The reduction in mass in the center stems from the higher temperature at the dust peak. The red line adds the effect of the drag force backreaction. It raises the gas mass profile because it behaves as extra gravity, as dust falls onto the midplane dragging the gas with it. We test numerically that this equilibrium is stable.

The model shown in Fig 2a-d of the main article had box length $(1 \times 1 \times 0.6)H$, resolution $255 \times 256 \times 128$, $\zeta = 1$ (that is, $v_z = -z$, which sets $\tau_f = 0.5$, according to Eq. (54)). The background temperature was set as $\beta = 0.5$ for the background pressure, with $c_{s0} = 1$ for the photoelectric pressure, and dust-to-gas ratio $\log \varepsilon = -0.75$, so that there is linear instability. The box is started with linear noise at the percent level. The dust diffusion coefficient was $D = 5 \times 10^{-3}$, setting the dust scale height at $H_d = \sqrt{D/\zeta} \approx 0.07$. This diffusion is applied only in the z direction. This anisotropic diffusion is chosen to provide support against gravity in the vertical direction, while not quenching the radial instability. It is also physically motivated. Because the processes that generate and/or shape turbulence are in general anisotropic (stratification, rotation, magnetic fields), turbulent diffusion is in general anisotropic as well^{42,43}. In practice, the continuity equation has a diffusion term in the right hand side, $\nabla \cdot J$, where we substitute $J = D \nabla \rho$ (that yields the usual isotropic diffusion) by $J = (D \cdot \nabla) \rho_g$, with $D = (0, 0, D)$.


To assess the evolution of the non-axisymmetric modes, we measured the power spectrum through the 3D simulation, which we show in Suppl. Fig. 5. Power in x , y , and z are shown in the upper, middle, and lower panel, respectively. Snapshots are shown at 5 (blue), 50 (red), and 100 orbits (black). The power in x shows the development of the instability, at $k_x \approx 60$, in agreement with the spacing between the stripes seen in Fig. 2 of the main article. The



Supplementary Figure 4: Vertical stratification of gas, in the presence of different processes, for a column of dust of scale height $H_d=0.5$ (in the same units) maintained by diffusion. The parameters b and d represent the magnitudes of photoelectric heating and drag force backreaction, respectively. The dark yellow line is the usual isothermal Gaussian stratification. When photoelectric heating from the dust column is included, the center heats up and expands, leading to the double-peaked profile shown as the blue line. With the extra heating, less mass is needed to balance the stellar gravity. The red line shows the equilibrium profile when drag backreaction is included. The dip in the center is filled because the backreaction causes gas to be dragged along with the settling dust, effectively behaving as extra gravity.



Supplementary Figure 5: Spectral power measured in the simulation shown in Fig. 2 of the main article. The power is shown in three snapshots, at 5, 50, and 100 orbits. **a**, The instability in x appears as the conspicuous spike at $k_x \approx 60$. Resonant instabilities at doubled wavenumbers are also apparent. **b**, The power in y behaves non-monotonically in time. It first drops from 5 to 50, then rises again from 50 to 100 back to levels close to the initial one. There is no evidence for nonaxisymmetric instability, as power remains concentrated in low k_y throughout the simulation. **c**, The power in z remains statistically constant in shape and magnitude, which reflects the stability of the stratification.



Supplementary Figure 6: Fifteen orbits into a version of the fiducial model shown in Fig. 3 of the main paper, but *without* the backreaction of the dragforce. Whereas the fiducial model develops into rings, this model breaks into several clumps. The backreaction is necessary to maintain the axisymmetry of the system, by resisting the tendency of the gas to counter-rotate in and around the regions of high pressure created by the dust.

difference in power at this wavenumber between 50 and 100 orbits is also in agreement with the time development of the instability as seen in Fig. 2c-d of the main article.


The power in z has remained roughly constant through the simulation. The power in y (middle panel), on the other hand, shows a decline from the initial noise, easily seen as the power drops five orders of magnitude from 5 to 50 orbits. After that, between 50 and 100 orbits, which coincides with the development of the instability (as seen in the x -power and Fig 2 of the main article), the azimuthal power is restored to the initial levels. We conclude that no short-wavelength non-axisymmetric instability is associated with photoelectric heating.

7 Excluding the drag force backreaction

We observe that the well-ordered scenario of the photoelectric instability gives way to turbulence when the drag force backreaction is excluded from the calculation. We show this case in Suppl. Fig. 6. This global simulation is similar to the fiducial case shown in the main paper in all aspects, except that the backreaction is switched off. As seen in the figure, axisymmetry is heavily broken, and the disk is torn apart into clumps. The drag force backreaction clearly has a pivotal role in maintaining axisymmetry. We encountered this before, when we noticed in Sect. 5.1.3 that the 1D particle streams were sharper and better confined when this term was included, though the effect is by far better appreciated in 2D.

This behavior stems from a disruption of geostrophy when the backreaction is introduced. When a dust overdensity generates a localized high pressure region, the motion initiated by the high pressure will be made to rotate by the influence of the Coriolis force. If backreaction is not present, the gas is free to execute this rotation, aware of the presence of dust only insofar as the latter influences the pressure. Nothing precludes the existence of several such clumps in the same orbit, and therefore azimuthal symmetry is not preferred.

When, however, the backreaction is included, the gas cannot revolve around freely anymore. If the gas crosses the dust overdensity from the inside outward, it is slowed down (if the dust concentration is low) or outright barred (if the dust density is high). Pressed from both sides, the dust will expand sideways, i.e., in azimuth. The overall tendency is therefore to smear the dust azimuthally (eventually towards a predominant $m = 0$ mode), and in the process keeping the gas and dust radially segregated.



Supplementary Figure 7: Comparison between the streaming and photoelectric instabilities. **a**, The maximum dust density attained in the simulations. **b-d**, Snapshots of dust density in r - z of the models by the the end of the simulations at 50 orbits. **e-g**, The time-evolution of the 1D vertically averaged dust density. We reproduce both the streaming and photoelectric instabilities in isolation. When both are modeled together, the photoelectric instability dominates the dynamics.

8 Comparison with streaming instability

We have so far considered only disks for which the initial condition consist of gas in constant pressure. This choice was made in order to isolate the effect of photoelectric heating by shutting down the streaming instability^{24,44–46}, a traffic-jam clumping instability that is triggered when particles migrate (or “stream”) through pressure-supported sub-Keplerian gas. The possibility exists then, that the proposed instability is overwhelmed by the streaming instability when realistic density and temperature gradients are used. We now examine this case, and show that in actuality the opposite is true.

We simulate the flow in the presence of a radial pressure gradient, that will trigger the streaming instability. The wavelength of interest is $\lambda_\eta = \eta r$, where η parametrizes the strength of the pressure gradient, according to²⁴

$$\eta \equiv -\frac{1}{2\rho_g \Omega^2 r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = -\frac{c_s^2}{2v_k^2} \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial \ln r} \approx \left(\frac{c_s}{v_k} \right)^2. \quad (66)$$

Given the definition of the aspect ratio and scale height, this can be written $\eta r \approx h^2 r = hH$. We set a global disk in r and z , with radii ranging from 0.4 to 2.5. Gas and dust surface densities are initialized as power laws of index -1.5 , which provides the streaming. The initial dust-to-gas ratio is $\varepsilon = 1$. We choose $h^2 = 0.1$ and use 768 points in r . The characteristic wavelength is therefore excellently resolved with 32 points. We use 32 points in z , with the same resolution as in radius to allow for growth of the streaming instability. Viscosity is added as $\alpha = 10^{-3}$. Four simulations are performed, switching on and off the pressure gradient and photoelectric heating. The result is shown in Suppl. Fig. 7.

The upper panel shows the time-series of the maximum dust density achieved in the simulation. The middle panels show the state of the $r - z$ flow at 50 orbits. The lower panels show the evolution of the vertically averaged dust density as a function of radius and time. The control model without pressure gradient or photoelectric heating is essentially constant in time and not shown.

In the simulation without heating but with the pressure gradient (lower left panels and blue line in upper panel), we recover the streaming instability, with clumps forming all over the grid and achieving high densities as they trap particles in their streaming course. When photoelectric heating is considered but not the pressure gradient (lower right panels and black line in upper panel), we recover the photoelectric instability, forming structures of longer wavelength in radius (about $\lambda = H/2$ in size), and symmetric in z . When both the pressure gradient and photoelectric heating are included, (lower middle panels and black line in upper panel), the maximum dust density achieved is bigger ($\approx \times 2$) than in the purely photoelectric case, but yet three to four times lower than in simulation with the streaming instability alone. The structures formed (middle lower panels) are of similar size as those generated in the case without the pressure gradient (right lower panels), and also symmetric in z . Overall, the result is intuitive. When the photoelectric effect is at play, gas is forced to rarefy in regions of high dust concentration, disrupting the pressure gradient responsible for the streaming. We conclude that it is the photoelectric mechanism, not the streaming instability, that dominates the dynamics.

9 Free oscillations

9.1 Long wavelength limit

We find in the simulations that the rings execute oscillations. The modes in question are the free, non-damped, oscillations that occur through most of the parameter space of Fig. 1a-c of the main article. We can find these modes analytically by taking the long wavelength limit ($n \rightarrow 0$). Ignoring the n terms, the system becomes a quartic equation,


$$\begin{aligned} A &= 1; & B &= 2\varepsilon + 2; & C &= \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon + 3; \\ D &= 2\varepsilon + 2; & E &= \varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon + 2; & F &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

which can be solved exactly. The roots are

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \sigma = \pm i; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \sigma = -(\varepsilon + 1) \pm i \quad (67)$$

i.e., two solutions are free oscillations at wave frequency Ω , thus constituting epicyclic oscillations. The other two solutions are damped oscillations at the same frequency Ω , and damping time $(\varepsilon + 1)^{-1} \Omega^{-1}$. The eigenvector corresponding to the $\sigma = \pm i$ solution represent the particular mode for which $\hat{v}_x = \hat{u}_x$ and $\hat{v}_y = \hat{u}_y$, therefore canceling the drag force. This epicyclic mode is low- k and non-damped, and should show up in the simulations as free oscillations.

We model a 1D system in the instantaneous thermal coupling approximation, as used in the linear analysis, where the equation of state is given by Eq. (11). The time evolution of the dust and gas densities is shown in Suppl. Fig. 8.




Supplementary Figure 8: Model with instantaneous thermal coupling between gas and dust. The gas (a) attains much denser concentrations, and the dust (b) executes high frequency oscillations. At later times the dust streams in the outer disk break into a roughly uniform sheet of dust extending from $r=1.4$ to $r=2.0$

The evolution looks a hybrid between the models considered in Sect. 5. On the one hand the dust streams are very thin, because of the shepherding provided by the drag force backreaction. On the other hand, the infinitesimally small thermal time leads to rapid wave excitation, seen in the high frequency oscillation of the dust.

We take a close look at this behavior, zooming into the dynamics of the stream. We show in Suppl. Fig. 9 the initial development of the instability (≈ 8 orbits) in a local box ranging $x = [-2.5, 2.5]H$ with 256 grid points, using both the Eulerian fluid and Lagrangian particle approaches. Viscosity is applied to the fluid and gas at $\alpha = 10^{-2}$. Fluid and particle approaches therefore sample different Reynolds numbers (Lagrangian particles are inviscid). The upper panels show the linear case, that the fluid approach (upper left) can capture. The particle simulation (upper right) will trigger the nonlinear regime, which is seen by the high amplitude in that case. Yet a similar wavelength was excited, which suggests linearity. The lower panels show the marginally nonlinear regime, at $\varepsilon = 1$. The fluid simulation (lower left) was quiescent in this case for linear noise, and had to be started at a noise level of 10% of the sound speed. The linear mode is also present, as seen in the underdense region developing in the middle of the figure, corresponding to the same density dip in the upper panel. This is because the dust was depleted in that region, falling below $\varepsilon = 1$. The particle simulation at the nonlinear regime (lower right) has the same overall wavelength as the fluid one, which is seen as the streams develop in the same location. However, the Reynolds number is different (essentially inviscid), which as a result leads to clearer oscillatory dynamics in the particle case. These are present in the fluid case as well, albeit less prominently. These oscillations are responsible for the non-zero eccentricity seen in two-dimensional models.

These modes are $u = v$ modes, that naturally occur at high dust-to-gas ratio, as dust drags the gas along. The question is therefore how to excite these modes. Excitation of the high-epsilon epicyclic mode is possible in the following way. If the orbits of the dust in the ring should happen to become slightly eccentric, ordinarily, gas drag would damp the eccentricity, by slowing the grains near pericenter and pushing the grains near apocenter forward. However, when gas is heated by the dust, the side of an eccentric ring that is closer to the star heats the gas more, increasing the gas pressure on that side. The resulting gradient in the gas pressure slows the gas orbital motion on one side of the ring, causing the gas streamlines to become eccentric as well, and therefore canceling the drag force, sustaining the mode. The azimuthal pressure gradient in turn attracts more dust, creating a tendency for apsidal alignment. Notice that this mechanism works best when $\tau_T \ll \tau_f$, i.e., when the thermal time is short in comparison to the friction time. This way, the gas in eccentricity excursion has time to heat up at the periape and establish the pressure gradient. Otherwise, the gas drag has enough time to damp the dust attempt at eccentricity excursion back to the circular ring. This is precisely what we see in Suppl. Fig. 1 and Suppl. Fig. 8, as the oscillations only appear for short thermal time.



Supplementary Figure 9: Time series of the 1D dust density, showing the linear and marginally nonlinear development of the photoelectric instability in the initial $t = 50$ (≈ 8 orbits) of the simulations. **a-b**, In the $\varepsilon = 0.2$ case there is linear instability, which is retrieved in both cases. **c-d**, In the $\varepsilon = 1$ case there is only nonlinear instability. The fluid simulation shown in the lower left panel had to be started with noise at $u_{\text{rms}} = 0.1 c_s$, since for linear noise it is quiescent. The particle simulation at $\varepsilon = 1$ develops epicyclic oscillations (period $= 1/\Omega = 2\pi$), also visible in the fluid approach but less clear due to the higher Reynolds number.

References

- [31] Zagorovsky, K., Brandeker, A., & Wu, Y. Gas Emission from Debris Disks Around A and F Stars. *Astrophys. J.*, **720**, 923-939, (2010).
- [32] Thi, W.F., Blake, G.A., van Dishoeck, E.F. et al. Substantial reservoirs of molecular hydrogen in the debris disks around young stars. *Nature*, **409**, 60-63, (2001).
- [33] Hughes, A. M., Wilner, D. J., Kamp, I., & Hogerheijde, M. R. A Resolved Molecular Gas Disk around the Nearby A Star 49 Ceti. *Astrophys. J.*, **681**, 626-635, (2008).
- [34] Nilsson, R., Brandeker, A., Olofsson, G., Fathi, K., Thébault, Ph., Liseau, R. VLT imaging of the β Pictoris gas disk. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **544**, 134-145, (2012).
- [35] Freudling, W., Lagrange, A.-M., Vidal-Madjar, A., Ferlet, R., Forveille, T. Gas around β Pictoris: an upper limit on the HI content. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **301**, 231-235, (1995).
- [36] Fitzgerald, M. P., Kalas, P. G., & Graham, J. R. A Ring of Warm Dust in the HD 32297 Debris Disk. *Astrophys. J.*, **670**, 557-564, (2007).
- [37] McNally, C. P., Lyra, W., & Passy, J.-C. A Well-posed Kelvin-Helmholtz Instability Test and Comparison. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.*, **201**, 18-34, (2012).
- [38] Hawley, J. F., Gammie, C. F., & Balbus, S. A. Local Three-dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations of Accretion Disks *Astrophys. J.*, **440**, 742-763, (1995).
- [39] Brandenburg, A., Nordlund, Å., Stein, R. F., & Torkelsson, U. Dynamo-generated Turbulence and Large-Scale Magnetic Fields in a Keplerian Shear Flow. *Astrophys. J.*, **446**, 741-754, (1995).
- [40] Dubrulle, B., Morfill, G., & Sterzik, M. The dust subdisk in the protoplanetary nebula. *Icarus*, **114**, 237-246, (1995).
- [41] Johansen, A. & Klahr H. Dust Diffusion in Protoplanetary Disks by Magnetorotational Turbulence. *Astrophys. J.*, **634**, 1535-1571, (2005).

- [42] Johansen, A., Klahr, H., & Mee, A. J. Turbulent diffusion in protoplanetary discs: the effect of an imposed magnetic field. *mnras*, **370**, L71-L75, (2006).
- [43] Brandenburg, A., Svedin, A., & Vasil, G. M. Turbulent diffusion with rotation or magnetic fields. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **395**, 1599-1606, (2009).
- [44] Youdin, A. N. & Goodman, J. Streaming Instabilities in Protoplanetary Disks. *Astrophys. J.*, **620**, 459-469, (2005).
- [45] Johansen, A. & Youdin, A. Protoplanetary Disk Turbulence Driven by the Streaming Instability: Nonlinear Saturation and Particle Concentration. *Astrophys. J.*, **662**, 627-641, (2007).
- [46] Jacquet, E., Balbus, S. & Latter, H. On linear dust-gas streaming instabilities in protoplanetary discs. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **415**, 3591-3598, (2011).